

¹ Graduate Research Assistant, Lyles School of Civil Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907 ² Professor of Civil Engineering and Director, Indiana Local Technical Assistance Program, Lyles School of Civil Engineering, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907

INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

- Potholes are a common pavement distress, particularly appearing during the spring freeze-thaw period in northern climates.
- The reactive approach to pothole repair is not time and cost efficient.
- The objective of this study is to develop a model to predict pothole formation and investigate the relation between traffic loads, weather condition and the number of potholes requiring patching.





- locations
- groups:
- rural and urban
- roads (SR)
- 3. Rural SR

- Underground website



Pothole Predictive Models Using Traffic and Weather Condition Data

Leila Sadeghi¹, John E. Haddock²



Interstate highways (including both rural and urban): $\ln(\Delta patch) = -6.30 + 0.53 \ln(\Delta AADT) + 0.05 (FT) + 1.07 \ln(CD)$

Urban routes (including both US highways and SR): $\ln(\Delta patch) = -1.46 + 0.50 \ln(\Delta AADT) - 0.15 (T) + 3.27 (CD)$



• Rural routes: $\ln(\Delta patch) = 5.61 + 0.0002 (\Delta AADT) - 0.26 (T) + 5.88 (CD)$

Δpatch: increment in patch intensity (tons/ lane mile); ΔAADT: increment in AADT (vehicles/ day); T: temperature (F); FT: Number of freeze-thaw cycles; CD: Cumulative distribution.

The adjusted R² for the models are 0.56, 0.32 and 0.28 for the interstate highways, urban and rural routes models, respectively.



PURDUE IVERSIT

NUMERICAL	EXAMPLE
SP route compart poor G	any Indiana in 2019

SH route segment near Gary, Indiana in 2012

CD (cumulative distribution)	AADT	ΔΑΑΟΤ	Temperature (F)	In(∆patch)	∆patch intensity	Patch intensity
0.0	2707.4	2707.4	55.00	-8.1485	0.0003	0.0003
0.1	3092.7	385.3	55.00	-8.0249	0.0003	0.0006
0.2	3308.7	216.0	55.00	-7.4708	0.0006	0.0012
0.3	4448.9	1140.2	55.00	-6.6980	0.0012	0.0024
0.4	5358.5	909.6	55.00	-6.1561	0.0021	0.0045
0.5	6927.1	1568.6	55.00	-5.4363	0.0044	0.0089
0.6	8234.2	1307.1	55.00	-4.9006	0.0074	0.0163
0.7	9327.3	1093.1	55.00	-4.3554	0.0128	0.0291
0.8	10483.0	1155.7	55.00	-3.7549	0.0234	0.0525
0.9	11549.0	1066.0	55.00	-3.1848	0.0414	0.0939
1.0	14028.0	2479.0	55.00	-2.3142	0.0988	0.1927



LIMITS

- Even though the models show a good match between the estimated values and the actual corresponding records of patch material per lane mile, the effect of pavement condition cannot be disregarded for the initiation and progression of potholes.
- Models have the ability to explain up to 56% variability in the data set. Although a high variability in the data set presents, the adjusted R² would most probably increase if other variables that can affect patch intensity were included (e.g.pavement condition, distress severity, etc.) and data separated for different patching methods.

CONCLUSIONS

- Traffic load plays a more important role in formation of the potholes in the urban and rural interstate highways compared to the urban US highways and urban and rural SR routes.
- Temperature is more important than the traffic loads in formation of the potholes in rural routes.
- Applying these models can help agencies assign maintenance priority to highways predicted to develop comparatively more potholes, depending on geographical location, traffic loads, and weather conditions.

FUTURE WORKS

Developing a model that includes a comprehensive influencing factors, both external and internal; External factors such as traffic and weather condition and internal factors such as pavement thickness, structure, age and pavement material properties (e.g., air void content and binder and aggregate characteristics)

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the Joint Transportation Research Program administered by the Indiana Department of Transportation and Purdue University. The contents of this paper reflect the views of the authors, who are responsible for the facts and the accuracy of the data presented herein, and do not necessarily reflect the official views or policies of the Federal Highway Administration and the Indiana Department of Transportation, nor do the contents constitute a standard, specification, or regulation.